

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE <div style="text-align: center;">J</div>		PAGE OF PAGES <div style="text-align: center;">1 5</div>	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <div style="text-align: center;">0001</div>		3. EFFECTIVE DATE <div style="text-align: center;">10-May-2010</div>		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. <div style="text-align: center;">F2N33Q0088A001</div>		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) <div style="text-align: center;">MQNA 05-1035</div>	
6. ISSUED BY <div style="text-align: center;">CODE</div> 65 CONS/LGC 65TH CONTRACTING SQUADRON BLDG T-615 APO AE 09720-7775		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <div style="text-align: center;">CODE</div>		See Item 6			
FA4486							
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				X		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. FA4486-10-R-0004	
				X		9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 23-Apr-2010	
						10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
						10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended.							
<p>Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:</p> <p>(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.</p>							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) <p>The purpose for this amendment is to attach revised Statement of Work and Drawings, and attach questions and answers from the pre-proposal conference.</p> <p>Attachment 1, Statement of Work, has been replaced with a revised version. Disregard previous version.</p> <p>Attachment 2, Drawings, now includes drawings for the electrical panel in T-925.</p> <p>Attachment 3, Past Performance Questionnaire, has not changed.</p> <p>Attachment 4, Questions and Answers, has been added.</p> <p>Please pay particular attention to Section M as there has been one minor change in the evaluation factors: Paragraph (C)(3) contains the following change: EUR62,000 has been changed to EUR50,000.</p> <p>Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.</p>							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)				BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		12-May-2010	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES**SECTION A - SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM**

The required performance has changed from 1) The magnitude of this project is between \$100,000 and \$250,000 in accordance with FAR 36.204(g).2) All offerors must be registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) to be eligible for award.3) A site visit is scheduled for Wednesday, 05 May 2010 at 1000 hours, Bldg T-615, in the Contracting Squadron.4) Facsimile proposals will not be accepted. to 1) The magnitude of this project is between \$25,000 and \$100,000 in accordance with FAR 36.204(g).2) All offerors must be registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) to be eligible for award.3) A site visit is scheduled for Wednesday, 05 May 2010 at 1000 hours, Bldg T-615, in the Contracting Squadron.4) Facsimile proposals will not be accepted..

SECTION J - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS

The Table of Contents has changed from:

Exhibit/Attachment Table of Contents

DOCUMENT TYPE	DESCRIPTION	PAGES	DATE
Attachment 1	Statement of Work	4	22-APR-2010
Attachment 2	Drawings	2	26-MAR-2010
Attachment 3	Past Performance Questionnaire	4	15-APR-2010

to:

Exhibit/Attachment Table of Contents

DOCUMENT TYPE	DESCRIPTION	PAGES	DATE
Attachment 1	Statement of Work	4	06-MAY-2010
Attachment 2	Drawings	8	06-MAY-2010
Attachment 3	Past Performance Questionnaire	4	15-APR-2010
Attachment 4	Questions and Answers	1	10-MAY-2010

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO BIDDERS

The following have been modified:

INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS
MAGNITUDE OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECT
 (IAW) FAR 36.204

The estimated amount of the project is: Between \$25,000.00 and \$100,000.00

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The following have been modified:

BASIS FOR AWARD
EVALUATION CRITERIA

Price
Past Performance

BASIS FOR CONTRACT AWARD: The government will select the best overall offer, based upon an integrated assessment of Price Performance Tradeoff (PPT). This is a competitive selection in which competing offerors' past and present performance history will be evaluated on a basis higher in importance than price IAW FAR 15.101-1, *Tradeoff Process*. The government intends to award the contract to the offeror deemed responsible in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), as supplemented, whose proposal conforms to the Request for Proposals (RFP) requirements (to include all stated terms, conditions, representations, certifications, and all other information required by Section L of this RFP) and is judged, based on the evaluation criteria, to represent the best value to the government. The government seeks to award to the offeror who, in the government's estimation, provides the greatest overall benefit in response to the requirement. By submission of its offer, the offeror agrees to all solicitation requirements, including terms and conditions, representations and certifications, and technical requirements, in addition to those identified as evaluation factors or sub factors. Failure to meet a requirement may result in an offer being determined technically unacceptable. Offerors must clearly identify any exception to the solicitation terms and conditions and provide complete accompanying rationale. The Contracting Officer will make a determination of the contractor's responsibility in accordance with FAR 9.104. The evaluation process shall proceed as follows:

(a) Price Evaluation. Initially, offers shall be ranked according to price. An offeror's proposed price will be that which is entered under the heading "AMOUNT" on page 3 of this solicitation.

If the lowest priced evaluated offer is judged to have a Substantial Confidence performance assessment, that offer represents the best value for the government and the evaluation process stops at this point. Award shall be made to that offeror without further consideration of any other offers.

The government reserves the right to award a contract to other than the lowest priced offeror if the lowest priced offeror is judged to have a performance confidence assessment of "Satisfactory Confidence" or lower. In that event, the Contracting Officer shall make an integrated assessment best value award decision.

The government reserves the right, if it deems appropriate, to determine the price fair and reasonable by means of one or more price analysis techniques. Examples of such techniques include, but are not limited to the following:

1. Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the solicitation.
2. Comparison of previously proposed prices, previous government, and commercial contract prices.
3. Comparison with competitive published price lists, published market prices of commodities, and similar indexes such as the MEANS catalog.
4. Comparison of proposed prices with independent government cost estimates.
5. Comparison of proposed prices obtained through market research.

6. Analysis of pricing information provided by the offeror.

(b) Past Performance Evaluation. Using questionnaires, the Contracting Officer shall seek relevant performance information based on (1) the references provided by the offeror and (2) data independently obtained from other government and commercial sources. Relevant performance includes performance of efforts involving water line work, potable/drinking water system work, and water pump installation projects that are similar or greater in scope, magnitude and complexity than the effort described in this solicitation. The government reserves the right to seek information on higher priced offerors if none of the lower priced offerors receives a “Substantial Confidence” or HIGHER performance assessment rating. The purpose of the past performance evaluation is to allow the government to assess the offeror’s ability to perform the effort described in the solicitation, based on the offeror’s demonstrated present and past performance. The assessment process will result in an overall performance confidence assessment rating of Substantial Confidence, Satisfactory Confidence, Limited Confidence, No Confidence, or Unknown Confidence, as defined in AFFARS MP5315.3, Table 3.

The evaluation team will assess past performance, specifically information on relevant and recent contracts obtained from the results of the past performance questionnaires. Each offeror will then be assigned an overall Performance Confidence rating. In conducting a performance confidence assessment, each offeror shall be assigned one of the ratings listed in the table below. Note that these assessment ratings are subjective based on the past performance information collected.

AFFARS MP5315.3 TABLE 3- PERFORMANCE CONFIDENCE ASSESSMENTS	
Rating	Description
SUBSTANTIAL CONFIDENCE	Based on the offeror’s performance record, the government has a high expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
SATISFACTORY CONFIDENCE	Based on the offeror’s performance record, the government has an expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
LIMITED CONFIDENCE	Based on the offeror’s performance record, the government has a low expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
NO CONFIDENCE	Based on the offeror’s performance record, the government has no expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.
UNKNOWN CONFIDENCE	No performance record is identifiable or the offeror’s performance record is so sparse that no confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned. IG 5.5.2.2

Past performance regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience, or sub-contractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement will not be considered as highly as past performance information for the principal offeror. Offerors with no relevant past or present performance history or does not meet

the relevancy defined in Section L shall receive the rating “Unknown Confidence,” meaning the rating is treated neither favorably nor unfavorably.

(c) In evaluating past performance, the government reserves the right to give greater consideration to information on those contracts deemed most relevant to the effort described in this solicitation. Specifically, greater consideration will be given to past performance in water line work, potable/drinking water system work, and water pump installation.

Using responses to the past performance questionnaires included in the attachment titled, “Past Performance Questionnaire,” references provided by the offeror, and data independently obtained from other government and commercial sources, the government will evaluate past performance information. The government will consider Recency, Relevancy, Magnitude of the past performance, and size and complexity of the past performance relative to this RFP. The government will focus on the following sub-factors of equal importance:

- (1) Recency: All projects submitted must have been completed within the past three (3) years from the solicitation issue date.
- (2) Relevancy: Offerors shall submit past performance with water line work, potable/drinking water system, work, and water pump installation. At least one project must demonstrate capability to install water pumps in order to be considered. All other projects may demonstrate capability in one of these disciplines, or any combination of these disciplines, in order to be considered.
- (3) Magnitude: Offerors must submit a minimum five (5) projects as past performance. Each project submitted must be a minimum of €50,000.00 in order to be considered.

(d) Offerors are cautioned to submit sufficient information and in the format specified in Section L. Disclosing Past Performance to Offerors: The Contracting Officer will provide offerors with the opportunity to comment on negative past performance information on which they have not had a previous opportunity to comment. The validation process is particularly important when the negative information is provided by only one reference, or when there is any doubt concerning the accuracy of the information. Note that while the government must disclose past performance problems to offerors, it shall not disclose the names of the individuals who provided information about an offeror’s past performance. Communication conducted to resolve minor or clerical errors will not constitute discussions and the Contracting Officer reserves the right to award a contract without the opportunity for proposal revision.

(e) Solicitation Requirements, Terms and Conditions: Offerors are required to meet all solicitation requirements, such as terms and conditions, representations and certifications, and technical requirements, in addition to those identified as criteria to be eligible for award. Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of the solicitation may result in the offeror being removed from consideration for award. Any exceptions to the solicitation’s terms and conditions must be fully explained and justified.

Note: The evaluation will be based on, but not limited to the contractor’s RELEVANT present and past performance questionnaires.

(f) The government intends to award a contract without discussions with prospective offerors. The government, however, reserves the right to conduct discussions if deemed in its best interest.

(End of Summary of Changes)